Genetic diversity of *Ralstonia solanacearum* as assessed by PCR-RFLP of the *hrp* gene region, AFLP and 16S rRNA sequence analysis, and identification of an African subdivision

Stéphane Poussier,¹ Danielle Trigalet-Demery,² Peggy Vandewalle,¹ Bruno Goffinet,³ Jacques Luisetti¹ and André Trigalet²

Author for correspondence: Stéphane Poussier. Tel: +33 262 35 76 30. Fax: +33 262 35 76 41. e-mail: poussier@cirad.fr

The genetic diversity among strains in a worldwide collection of Ralstonia solanacearum, causal agent of bacterial wilt, was assessed by using three different molecular methods. PCR-RFLP analysis of the hrp gene region was extended from previous studies to include additional strains and showed that five amplicons were produced not only with all R. solanacearum strains but also with strains of the closely related bacteria *Pseudomonas syzygii* and the blood disease bacterium (BDB). However, the three bacterial taxa could be discriminated by specific restriction profiles. The PCR-RFLP clustering, which agreed with the biovar classification and the geographical origin of strains, was confirmed by AFLP. Moreover, AFLP permitted very fine discrimination between different isolates and was able to differentiate strains that were not distinguishable by PCR-RFLP. AFLP and PCR-RFLP analyses confirmed the results of previous investigations which split the species into two divisions, but revealed a further subdivision. This observation was further supported by 16S rRNA sequence data, which grouped biovar 1 strains originating from the southern part of Africa.

Keywords: bacterial wilt, PCR-RFLP, hrp, AFLP, 16S rRNA

INTRODUCTION

Ralstonia solanacearum causes bacterial wilt of a wide range of crops (potato, tomato, tobacco, banana, ginger, peanut, etc.) and is one of the most important plant diseases in tropical, subtropical and warm temperate regions of the world (Hayward, 1991). *R. solanacearum* shows great phenotypic and genotypic diversity, usually being divided into five races based on host range (Buddenhagen *et al.*, 1962; He *et al.*, 1983; Pegg & Moffett, 1971) and six biovars based on biochemical properties (Hayward, 1964; Hayward *et al.*, 1990; He *et al.*, 1983). The use of RFLP (Cook *et al.*, 1989; Cook & Sequeira, 1994) allowed division of the species into two groups correlated with the geographical origin of strains: the 'Americanum' division contains biovar 1, 2 and N2 strains whereas the 'Asiaticum' division comprises biovars 3, 4 and 5 strains. Sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene (Li *et al.*, 1993; Seal *et al.*, 1993; Taghavi *et al.*, 1996), the 16S–23S rRNA gene intergenic spacer region, the polygalacturonase gene and the endoglucanase gene (Fegan *et al.*, 1998) have confirmed the two divisions and revealed a further subdivision including Indonesian isolates.

However, recent PCR-RFLP analysis of the *hrp* gene region (Poussier *et al.*, 1999), including many African strains, which were rarely included in previous analyses of the genetic diversity of *R. solanacearum*, was not totally consistent with the above classification scheme since an African biovar 1 strains group belonged to the 'Asiaticum' division instead of the 'Americanum' division. Therefore, to clarify the relationships between these biovar 1 strains originating from the Southern part of Africa and other *R. solanacearum* isolates, three different approaches were compared and are presented

¹ Laboratoire de Phytopathologie, CIRAD-FLHOR, 97448 Saint-Pierre Cedex, La Réunion, France

- ² Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire des Relations Plantes-Microorganismes, INRA-CNRS, BP27, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France
- ³ Unité de Biométrie et d'Intelligence Artificielle, INRA, BP27, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France

Abbreviations: AFLP, amplified fragment length polymorphism; BDB, blood disease bacterium; HCA, hierarchical cluster analysis; UPGMA, unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages.

The GenBank accession numbers for the sequences determined in this work are AF207891–AF207897.

Strain*	Other designation†	Biovar or taxon	PCR-RFLP cluster and subcluster	AFLP cluster and profile‡	Geographical origin	Host
JS796	CFBP 1180	1	Ia	I 1	Puerto Rico	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS927	NCBBP 1225	1	Ia		Puerto Rico	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS833	UW30	1	Ia		Trinidad and Tobago	Lycopersicon esculentum
JR659	CFBP 2047	1	Ib	I 2	United States	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS783	CFBP 1036	1	Ib		United States	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT645	UW27	1	Ib		United States	Nicotiana tabacum
JS967	ICMP 7963	1	Ib	I 3	Kenya	Solanum tuberosum
JS831	UW26	1	Ic	I 4	United States	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS716	CFBP 705	1	IIa		Guyana	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS733	CFBP 2478	1	IIa	II 6	Guadeloupe	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS734	CFBP 2972	1	IIa	II 7	Martinique	Solanum tuberosum
JS768	CFBP 767	1	IIa		Guadeloupe	Solanum tuberosum
JS777	CFBP 770	1	IIa		Guadeloupe	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS784	CFBP 1036	1	IIa		Martinique	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS794	CFBP 1162	1	IIa		Guadeloupe	Nicotiana tabacum
JS837	UW90	1	lla		Brazil	Nicotiana tabacum
JS838	UW275	1	lla	11 8	Costa Rica	Melampodium perfoliatum
JS830	UW256	1	lla		Costa Rica	Solanum tuberosum
JS779	CFBP 715	1	lla	11 9	Burkina Faso	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS912	CFBP 305/	1	lla	H 10	Burkina Faso	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS//0	CFBP /12	1	lla	11 10	Burkina Faso	Solanum melongena
JS845	CFBP 11/5	1	lla		I rinidad and Tobago	Solanum melongena
JS903	CFBP 3104	1	lla II-	II 11	Peru Varana la	Solanum tuberosum
J1649	U W 181	1	Па	11 11	Venezuela Progil	Niusa sp. cv. plantain
15740	CEBD 1415	1		III 12	Colombia	Solanum tuberosum
15788	CFBP 1412	1		111 12	Colombia	Musa sp. cy. plantain
15847	CFBP 1419	1	III		Costa Rica	Musa sp. ev. plantain Musa sp
IT648	UW162	1		III 13	Peru	Musa sp. cy. plantain
IT.509	0 11 102	2	IVa	IV 16	Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
IT510		2	IVa	5	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
IT511		2	IVa	IV 19	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JT512		2	IVa	IV 19	Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT513		2	IVa	IV 19	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JT514		2	IVa	IV 17	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JT515		2	IVa	IV 17	Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT516		2	IVa	IV 17	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1102		2	IVa		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1120		2	IVa		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1084		2	IVa		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1041		2	IVa		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1051		2	IVa		Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JQ1056		2	IVa	IV 27	Reunion Island	Cyphomendra betacea
JS780	CFBP 2148	2	IVa	IV 18	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1144		2	IVa		Peru	Solanum tuberosum
JS738	CFBP 1413	2	IVa		Australia	Solanum tuberosum
JS737	CFBP 1417	2	IVa		Australia	Solanum tuberosum
JS758	CFBP 1420	2	IVa	IV 25	Colombia	Solanum phureja
JS792	CFBP 1810	2	IVa	IV 20	Haiti	Solanum tuberosum
JS774	CFBP 1414	2	IVa		Colombia	Solanum tuberosum

Table 1. R. solanacearum, P. syzygii, R. pickettii and the BDB strains used in this study

Table 1 (cont.)

Strain*	Other designation†	Biovar or taxon	PCR-RFLP cluster and subcluster	AFLP cluster and profile‡	Geographical origin	Host
JS887	CFBP 3785	2	IVa		Portugal	Unknown
JS895	CFBP 3673	2	IVa	IV 19	France	Solanum tuberosum
JS897	CFBP 3103	2	IVa		Peru	Solanum tuberosum
JS898	CFBP 3672	2	IVa		France	Solanum tuberosum
JS900	CFBP 3671	2	IVa		France	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS902	CFBP 3581	2	IVa		France	Solanum tuberosum
JS905	CFBP 3582	2	IVa	IV 19	Egypt	Solanum tuberosum
JS908	CFBP 3525	2	IVa	IV 19	Morocco	Solanum tuberosum
JS907	CFBP 3858	2	IVa	IV 19	The Netherlands	Solanum tuberosum
JS925	NCBBP 1323	2	IVa	IV 19	Sri Lanka	Solanum tuberosum
JS926	NCBBP 1331	2	IVa	IV 22	India	Solanum tuberosum
JS928	NCBBP 2797	2	IVa	IV 19	Sweden	Solanum dulcamara
JS929	NCBBP 2505	2	IVa		Sweden	Solanum tuberosum
JS930	NCBBP 1489	2	IVa	IV 21	Madeira	Solanum tuberosum
JS931	NCBBP 1049	2	IVa	IV 26	Kenya	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS932	NCBBP 1614	2	IVa	IV 23	Malaysia	Solanum tuberosum
JS935	NCBBP 339	2	IVa		Israel	Unknown
JS937	NCBBP 1789	2	IVa	IV 24	Greece	Solanum tuberosum
JS939	NCBBP 1824	2	IVa		Egypt	Solanum tuberosum
JS942	NCBBP 1019	2	IVa	IV 24	Portugal	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS943	NCBBP 613	2	IVa	IV 24	Brazil	Solanum tuberosum
JS948	NCBBP 2088	2	IVa	IV 24	Nigeria	Solanum tuberosum
JT572	NCBBP 752	2	IVa	IV 24	Zimbabwe	Solanum tuberosum
JT573	NCBBP 8088	2	IVa	IV 24	Rwanda	Solanum tuberosum
JT646	UW73	2	IVa		Sri Lanka	Solanum tuberosum
JT650	UW257	2	IVa		Costa Rica	Solanum tuberosum
JT653	UW470	N2	IVa		Brazil	Solanum tuberosum
JT658	R361	N2	IVa		Peru	Solanum tuberosum
JT660	R578	N2	IVa		Peru	Solanum melongena
JT683	R587	N2	IVa		Peru	Solanum tuberosum
JT662	R330	N2	IVa		Brazil	Solanum tuberosum
JT676	NCBBP 3990	N2	IVa		Peru	Solanum tuberosum
JT686	R572	N2	IVa	IV 29	Brazil	Solanum american
JT687	R564	N2	IVb		Brazil	Solanum sysynbri
JT677	NCBBP 3987	N2	IVb	IV 31	Brazil	Solanum tuberosum
JT689	R574	N2	IVc	IV 30	Peru	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT673	NCBBP 3985	N2	IVc		Peru	Solanum melongena
JT654	UW477	N2	IVd	IV 28	Peru	Solanum tuberosum
JS730	CFBP 1482	1	V		Panama	Musa sp.
JS775	CFBP 1409	1	V	III 14	Honduras	Musa sp.
JS793	CFBP 1183	1	V		Costa Rica	<i>Heliconia</i> sp.
JS791	CFBP 1416	1	V		Costa Rica	Musa sp. cv. plantain
JS748	CFBP 1416	1	V		Costa Rica	<i>Musa</i> sp. cv. plantain
JT644	UW9	1	V	III 15	Costa Rica	<i>Heliconia</i> sp.
JS781	CFBP 1185	3	Via	VI 43	Japan	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS836	UW8	3	Via	VI 44	Costa Rica	Eupatorium odoratum
JS842	UW119	3	Via		Costa Rica	Solanum tuberosum
JS940	NCBBP 500	3	Via	VI 45	Mauritius	Vicia faba
JS944	NCBBP 501	3	Via		Mauritius	Brassica oleracea
JS945	MAFF 301860	3	Via		Japan	Capsicum annuum
JS954	NCBBP 502	3	Via		Mauritius	Casuarina equisetifolia

Table 1 (cont.)

Strain*	Other	Biovar or	PCR-RFLP	AFLP cluster	Geographical origin	Host
	designation†	taxon	cluster and	and profile‡		
			subcluster			
JS955	NCBBP 503	3	Via		Mauritius	Dahlia sp.
JS743	CFBP 1418	3	Via		Costa Rica	Heliconia sp.
JS763		3	Via		Indonesia	Unknown
JS941§	NCBBP 3190	4	Via	VI 46	Malaysia	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS832	UW378	4	Via		China	Olea sp.
JS834	UW151	4	Via	VI 56	Australia	Zingiber officinale
JS835	UW360	4	Via		China	Morus alba
JS839	UW369	4	Via		China	Arachis hypogaea
JT651	UW359	4	Via		China	Zingiber officinale
JT517		3	VIb	VI 47	Reunion Island	Pelargonium asperum
JT518		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Solanum melongena
JT519		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Unknown
JT520		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Pelargonium asperum
JT521		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT522		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT523		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JT524		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JQ1060		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1123		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1090		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1114		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1072		3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Allium cepa
JQ1104		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1138		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JQ1024		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JQ1129		3	VIb		Reunion Island	Lycopersicon esculentum
JQ1134		3	VIb	VI 49	Reunion Island	Anthurium andreanum
JS766	CFBP 726	3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Solanum melongena
JS778	CFBP 2041	3	VIb	VI 48	Reunion Island	Solanum tuberosum
JS747	CFBP 726	3	VIb		Reunion Island	Solanum melongena
JT571	ICMP 759	3	VIb		Kenya	Solanum melongena
JT674	NCBBP 1045	3	VIb	VI 48	Kenya	Solanum melongena
JT678	NCBBP 1486	3	VIb	VI 48	Uganda	Arachis hypogaea
JT675	NCBBP 1763	3	VIb	VI 48	Seychelles	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT574	NCBBP 7960	4	VIb		Kenya	Capsicum annuum
JS841	UW74	4	VIb	VII 58	Sri Lanka	Solanum tuberosum
JS933	MAFF 301418	4	VIb		Japan	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT690	MAFF 211266	1	VIb	40	Japan	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT691	MAFF 211267	1	VIb	40	Japan	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS722	CFBP 1813	3	VIc		Guyana	Solanum melongena
JS718	CFBP 2480	3	VIc		Guadeloupe	Solanum melongena
JS729	CFBP 2965	3	VIc	VI 50	Guadeloupe	Solanum melongena
JS719	CFBP 2970	3	VIc		Martinique	Capsicum annuum
JS715	CFBP 2976	3	VIc		Martinique	Ensete ventricosum
JS753	GMI1000	3	VIc	VI 52	Guyana	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS759	CFBP 1168	3	VIc	VI 50	Trinidad and Tobago	Musa sp.
JS772	CFBP 707	3	VIc	VI 53	Tahiti	Lycopersicon esculentum
JS773	CFBP 1960	3	VIc	VI 50	Algeria	Capsicum annuum
JS840	UW147	3	VIc	VI 54	Australia	Nicotiana tabacum
JS843	UW130	3	VIc	VI 51	Peru	Lycopersicon esculentum
JT647	UW152	3	VIc		Australia	Solanum tuberosum

Strain* Other Biovar or PCR-RFLP AFLP cluster Geographical origin Host cluster and and profile[‡] designation⁺ taxon subcluster JS782 **CFBP 1038** 3 VIc Guadeloupe Lycopersicon esculentum **IS888** 3 **CFBP 3258** VIc Unknown Unknown IS947 NCBBP 1123 4 VIc **VII 59** Papua New Guinea Lycopersicon esculentum JS953 MAFF 301552 3 VId VI 55 Japan Lycopersicon esculentum 3 JS936 NCBBP 3181 VIe 57 Gambia Solanum nicanum JS904 1 Burkina Faso CFBP 3059 VIe 32 Solanum melongena JT661 R292 5 VIf **VII 60** China Morus alba 5 VIf China Morus alba IT685 R322 **VII 61 IS950 NCBBP 1018** 1 VIIa V 33 Angola Solanum tuberosum JT525 1 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island Pelargonium asperum JT526 1 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island Pelargonium asperum JT527 1 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island Pelargonium asperum JT528 1 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island Solanum tuberosum IT529 V 34 1 VIIb Reunion Island Pelargonium asperum JT530 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island Pelargonium asperum 1 JT531 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island 1 Solanum tuberosum JQ1040 1 VIIb V 34 Reunion Island Lycopersicon esculentum JT532 1 VIIb Reunion Island Unknown JS756 **CFBP 2146** 1 VIIb V 35 Reunion Island Pelargonium capitatum CFBP 734 VIIb Madagascar IS767 1 V 36 Solanum tuberosum IS946 NCBBP 283 VIIb V 37 Zimbabwe Solanum panduraforme 1 JS949 NCBBP 332 VIIb V 38 Zimbabwe 1 Solanum tuberosum VIIb Zimbabwe JS951 NCBBP 505 1 V 39 Symphytum sp. Zimbabwe **IS952** NCBBP 342 1 VIIb Nicotiana tabacum JS966 **ICMP 748** 1 VIIb Zimbabwe Solanum tuberosum JS934§ MAFF 301558 N2 VIIc 62 Iapan Solanum tuberosum JT656 R604 BDB IXb 42 Indonesia Musa sp. JT657 BDB IXb Indonesia R230 Musa sp. BDB IXb JT680 NCBBP 3726 Indonesia Musa sp. R006 P. syzygii IXc Indonesia Syzygium aromaticum JV1010 R024 P. syzygii IXa Indonesia Syzygium aromaticum IV1011 R028 P. syzygii IXa Indonesia Syzygium aromaticum

Table 1 (cont.)

JR660

CFBP 2459

* Designation of strains of the Laboratoire de Phytopathologie, CIRAD-FLHOR, 97448 Saint-Pierre, La Réunion, France.

† CFBP, Collection Française de Bactéries Phytopathogènes, Angers, France; NCPPB, National Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Harpenden, UK; ICMP, International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants, Auckland, New Zealand; UW, D. Cook and L. Sequeira, Department of Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA; GMI, M. Arlat and P. Barberis, CNRS-INRA, Auzeville, Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France; MAFF, Ministry of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries, National Institute of Agrobiological Resources, Japan; R, Institute of Arable Crops Research–Rothamsted, Harpenden, UK.

41

Unknown

‡Roman numerals indicate AFLP clusters and arabic numerals indicate AFLP profiles.

R. pickettii

§ Strains described in our previous paper (Poussier et al., 1999) and reclassified as the result of a new biovar determination.

in this paper. Firstly, 59 additional strains of *R. solanacearum*, including biovar N2 and 5 strains and new African strains, were analysed using PCR-RFLP. Two close relatives of *R. solanacearum*, *Pseudomonas syzygii* (causal agent of Sumatra disease of cloves) and the blood disease bacterium (BDB, causal agent of blood disease of bananas) (Eden-Green, 1994; Seal *et al.*, 1993; Taghavi *et al.*, 1996) were also analysed, permitting the specificity of our PCR-RFLP test and the phylogenetic relationships between these three bacteria

to be assessed. Secondly, we have used the very powerful DNA fingerprinting technique AFLP (Vos *et al.*, 1995), which allows very fine whole genome analysis. AFLP methodology has already been used to study the diversity of race 3 isolates of *R. solanacearum* (Van der Wolf *et al.*, 1998) but has never been used to analyse a worldwide collection of *R. solanacearum* strains. Finally, we have determined nearly complete 16S rRNA gene sequences for seven (five African, one American and one Japanese) biovar 1 strains of *R. solanacearum*, and compared these

Unknown

with 19 previously sequenced R. solanacearum 16S rRNA gene sequences (Taghavi et al., 1996).

METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Culture conditions and biovar determination of *R. solanacearum* isolates were as described previously (Poussier *et al.*, 1999).

DNA isolation. Genomic DNA of strains was extracted using the hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide method (Ausubel *et al.*, 1991).

PCR-RFLP analysis. DNA amplification conditions and digestion with eight restriction endonucleases of the five PCR products were as previously described (Poussier et al., 1999). Each PCR-RFLP was duplicated to assure its reproducibility. Two different Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) methods were used to analyse the PCR-RFLP band data collected. Using STATLAB version 2.0 (SLP Statistiques, Monterey, CA, USA), clustering was based on the Euclidean distance between strains (Ward, 1963). The truncation level in the resulting dendrogram was thus determined to be that which provided the smallest number of clusters for which the variance within clusters was significantly (P = 0.05) different from the variance between clusters. Using the PHYLIP software package (Felsenstein, 1995), a distance matrix was firstly constructed with the Nei (1973) genetic distance of the GENDIST program. A dendrogram was then constructed from genetic distance values by using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) (Sneath & Sokal, 1973) contained in the NEIGHBOR program. Finally, the strength of the tree topology was assessed by the bootstrap method (Felsenstein, 1985) of the sequoot program.

AFLP analysis. Ninety-six *R. solanacearum* strains, one BDB strain and one *Ralstonia pickettii* strain were analysed using AFLP as described by Janssen *et al.* (1996) and Vos *et al.* (1995) with slight modifications. We used *MspI* and *SacI* to digest DNA instead of *Eco*RI and *MseI*.

Genomic DNA (200 ng per sample) was digested for 2 h at 37 °C in 50 µl (final volume) containing 5 U *Msp*I, 5 U *SacI* (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), 0·125 µl BSA (10 µg µl⁻¹) and 2·5 µl 10× 'One Phor All' buffer (Amersham). Next, 50 pmol double-stranded *Msp*I-adapter (5'-GACGATGAGTCCTG-AA-3', 5'-CGTTCAGGACTCATC-3') (50 pmol µl⁻¹), 5 pmol double-stranded *SacI*-adapter (5'-CTCGTAGACTGCGT-ACAAGCT-3', 5'-TGTACGCAGTCTAC-3') (5 pmol µl⁻¹) (Genset), 1 µl ATP (10 mM), 1 U T4 DNA ligase (5 U µl⁻¹) (Appligene) and 2·5 µl 10× 'One Phor All' buffer were added to the digested DNA and the ligation reactions were performed for 3 h at 20 °C. Digested (D) and ligated (L) DNA were diluted (D) eightfold and the resulting DLD DNA was then stored at 4 °C until used.

Selective amplifications were done with two primers (*Msp*Iprimer and *Sac*I-primer) (Genset) complementary to the adapter sequences, and the *Msp*I and *Sac*I restriction sites respectively, with additional selective nucleotides at their 3' ends (cytosine for the *Sac*I-primer and cytosine plus guanine for the *Msp*I-primer). The *Sac*I-primer was labelled with γ -³³P [10 µCi (370 kBq) per DNA amplification; Nen Life Science Products] and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Gibco-BRL).

DNA amplifications were carried out in a 50 µl reaction mixture. DLD DNA (5 µl) was added to 45 µl mixture containing 5 µl 10× PCR buffer (Gibco-BRL), 1·5 µl labelled *SacI*-primer (50 µg µl⁻¹), 2 µl unlabelled *MspI*-primer (30 µg µl⁻¹), 1·5 µl MgCl₂ (50 mM), 8 µl of each dNTP (1·25 mM) and

0⁻⁶ μl *Taq* polymerase (5 U μl⁻¹; Gibco-BRL). Amplifications were performed with a thermocycler (Mastercycler gradient Eppendorf) by using the following protocol: 30 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 56 °C for 1 min and extension at 72 °C for 1 min.

Amplified fragments were separated by electrophoresis on 5 % polyacrylamide gels and fingerprint patterns were visualized as described by Vos *et al.* (1995). The reproducibility of AFLP was assessed by comparing the DNA fingerprinting obtained from duplicate assays of 14 strains. Duplicate DNA fingerprints were produced using two aliquots from two different DNA amplifications that were run in different gels. AFLP data analysis was performed as described above for PCR-RFLP.

16S rRNA sequencing. Seven biovar 1 strains of R. solanacearum were used: CFBP 1036, CFBP 712, NCPPB 1018, CFBP 2146, CFBP 734, NCPPB 342 and MAFF 211266. 16S rRNA genes were amplified using the PCR as detailed by Taghavi et al. (1996) with slight modifications. PCR amplifications were carried out in a thermocycler (GeneAmp PCR system 9600; Perkin-Elmer) in a 50 µl (total volume) reaction mixture containing 10× buffer (200 mM Tris/HCl, 500 mM KCl, pH 8.4; Gibco-BRL), 1.5 mM MgCl, (Gibco-BRL) 200 µM of each dNTP (Boehringer Mannheim), 0.25 µM primer 27f, 0.25 µM primer 1525r (Genosys Biotechnologies) (Taghavi et al., 1996), 1 U Taq polymerase (Gibco-BRL) and 100 ng template DNA. The following PCR profile was used: initial denaturation at 96 °C for 2 min; 30 cycles consisting of 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min; and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min.

PCR products were electrophoresed using 1% agarose gels at 5 V cm⁻¹ for 1 h and visualized with UV light after ethidium bromide staining. Amplification products were purified from the agarose gel slice by using the QIAquick purification kit PCR (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR product sequences were determined by Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK. The GenBank accession numbers of the seven resulting 16S rRNA gene sequences are shown in Table 3. They were aligned using the 'gap proceed' program of the Genetics Computer Group software package (Genetics Computer Group, 1999) with the published 16S rRNA gene sequences of *R. solanacearum*, BDB and *P. syzygii* isolates studied by Taghavi *et al.* (1996). Data analysis was performed using the DNADIST (Jukes & Cantor, 1969) and NEIGHBOR (UPGMA method) programs of the PHYLIP software package, and using the STATLAB software.

RESULTS

PCR-RFLP analysis

Both of the bacteria closely related to *R. solanacearum* that were examined, *P. syzygii* and the BDB, which were not included in our previous study (Poussier *et al.*, 1999), gave a single band of the expected size after amplification using each of the five pairs of primers. After suitable digestion of the amplicons, the BDB and *P. syzygii* strains could be separated from the *R. solanacearum* strains. Indeed, their resulting profiles displayed specific restriction patterns (Table 2). Pattern DHa7 (band sizes 608 and 281 bp) was common to the BDB and *P. syzygii* strains whereas APv5 (band size 1452 bp; no *Pvu*II restriction site within the amplified fragment) was specific to *P. syzygii* strains. EBs7 (band sizes visible on gel 1106, 125, 109 bp) was produced by only one *P. syzygii* strain, R006. In addition, two restriction

Table 2. Characterization of the eight new PCR-RFLP profiles identified within the 59 additional strains of *R. solanacearum*, three BDB strains and three *P. syzygii* strains

PCR-RFLP profile*	Subcluster	Organism
AAv2, APv1, BHi2, CSa1, DHa2, EBs5, ENo2, EPs3	IIb	R. solanacearum
AAv2, APv2, BHi2, CSa2, DHa2, EBs5, ENo2, EPs2	IVb	R. solanacearum
AAv2, APv2, BHi2, CSa2, DHa2, EBs5, ENo3, EPs2	IVc	R. solanacearum
AAv2, APv2, BHi2, CSa2, DHa2, EBs2, ENo3, EPs3	IVd	R. solanacearum
AAv2, APv1, BHi7, CSa1, DHa3, EBs3, ENo1, EPs1	VIf	R. solanacearum
AAv2, APv5, BHi5, CSa3, DHa7, EBs3, ENo1, EPs1	IXa	P. syzygii
AAv2, APv2, BHi5, CSa3, DHa7, EBs3, ENo1, EPs1	IXb	BDB
AAv2, APv5, BHi5, CSa3, DHa7, EBs7, ENo1, EPs1	IXc	P. syzygii

* A profile is the combination of eight restriction patterns generated through the digestion of the five amplified fragments by the designated enzymes. Each pattern was given a code containing three letters and one number; the first letter refers to the amplified fragment (A as delineated by primers RS20-RS201, B by RS30-RS31, C by RS50-RS501, D by RS600-R61and E by RS80-RS81); the following letters indicate the enzyme (*Ava*I, *Pvu*II, *Hind*II, *Sac*I, *Hae*II, *Bss*HII, *Not*I and *Pst*I); and the number refers to the pattern generated by the enzyme.



Fig. 1. Dendrogram resulting from a HCA (Ward, 1963) based on the restriction patterns of the five amplified fragments within the *hrp* gene region of the 184 strains of *R. solanacearum* and closely related bacteria (*P. syzygii* and the BDB). Asterisks indicate new subclusters as compared to those of Poussier *et al.* (1999). The relative distance between the farthest clusters is assumed to be 1. The biovar N2 strain MAFF 301558 was previously included in cluster VIII (Poussier *et al.*, 1999) but according to the new HCA, it was included in subcluster VIIc.

patterns, BHi5 and CSa3, produced by the BDB and *P. syzygii* strains were shared with the unique *R. solanacearum* strain MAFF 301558.

The dendrogram resulting from a HCA (Fig. 1), produced by STATLAB OF PHYLIP software packages, showed that *R. solanacearum* could be separated into two divisions. The first division comprised about 64% of biovar 1 strains and all of the biovar 2 and N2 strains; the second division contained about 36% of biovar 1 strains, all of the biovar 3, 4 and 5 strains and one biovar N2 strain MAFF 301558. The BDB and *P. syzygii* isolates fell into the second division. No significant difference was observed between clusterings resulting from either of the two different software packages (results not shown). The dendrogram generated by the STATLAB software (Fig. 1) agreed with previous results (Poussier *et al.*, 1999). However, amongst the additional strains, five new profiles and one new restriction pattern were identified (Table 2). In particular, the biovar 1 strain UW469 gave a new profile (IIb), whilst the Japanese and Burkina Faso biovar 1 strains (MAFF 211266, MAFF 211267 and CFBP 3059) were grouped with biovar 3 strains into subclusters VIb and VIe. Three of the identified biovar 1/race 2 isolates, UW9, UW162 and UW181, were distributed into three different clusters: subcluster IIa, cluster III and cluster V. Five of the twelve biovar N2 strains used generated three new profiles



(IVb, IVc and IVd) and thus could be distinguished from biovar 2 strains whereas the seven remaining biovar N2 strains could not be separated (subcluster IVa). All of the new African biovar 3 strains fell into a single subcluster (VIb). Two strains belonging to biovar 5 were characterized by a new profile, VIf, and a new restriction pattern, BHi7 (band sizes 779, 745, 304 and 172 bp).

AFLP analysis

One hundred and fifty-nine DNA fragments which were reproducibly detected, ranging from 50 to 350 bp, were selected for the analysis of 96 *R. solanacearum* strains and two isolates belonging to two closely related taxa: the BDB and *R. pickettii*. Amongst these, 62 different DNA fingerprints were identified. Different DNA fingerprints were generated from strains belonging to the same biovar (for example 23, 12 and 13 from biovars 1, 2 and 3, respectively), but also originating from the same geographical area (for instance 6 from biovar 2 strains from Reunion Island). Identical DNA patterns could be produced by strains originating from different countries (for example IV 19 was found in strains from 7 countries).

Depending on the strain, DNA patterns contained 50-80

different DNA bands (Fig. 2). Comparison of AFLP fingerprints revealed that 95% of the fragments were polymorphic. Three fragments appeared to be specific to R. solanacearum species. Moreover, five AFLP fragments were common to all R. solanacearum strains: three of them were shared with the BDB and R. pickettii strains and two of them were shared with only the BDB strains. One fragment was specific to the BDB isolate. Many of the DNA fragments were useful in distinguishing subgroups within R. solanacearum species. For instance, one fragment was found only in all of the biovar 1, 2 and N2 strains (except for the biovar N2 strain MAFF 301558). Eight fragments were produced by all of the biovar 3, 4 and 5 strains (with one, two or three exceptions depending on the fragment). No DNA band appeared to be specific to all biovar 1 strains; however one fragment was common to all R. solanacearum strains other than biovar 1 strains. African and Japanese biovar 1 isolates were characterized by three and two fragments, respectively. Six particular DNA bands were specific to biovar 2 and N2 isolates, except for strains IT510 and MAFF 301558. Strain IT510 (biovar 2) was unusual since it shared less than 60% of the AFLP fragments with other biovar 2 isolates. One fragment was common to all biovar N2 strains, except



Fig. 3. Dendrogram constructed by using UPGMA showing correlation between AFLP fingerprints of 98 R. solanacearum strains and related bacteria (the BDB and R. pickettii). Roman numerals indicate clusters and arabic numerals indicate profile types. Numbers at the branch points are the percentages of bootstrap replicates in which the clusters were found.

for strains JT510, JQ1056 and MAFF 301558. Seventeen AFLP fragments could discriminate between the two similar biovars 2 and N2. One fragment was specific for biovar 3 strains (two exceptions) and one only for African biovar 3 strains. No fragments discriminating biovar 4 or 5 isolates were obtained, but these isolates were distinguished from biovar 3 strains by 14 DNA bands.

The dendrogram generated by the PHYLIP software package (Fig. 3) showed that R. solanacearum could be split into two divisions: the first division contained biovar 1, 2 and N2 isolates and the second division contained biovar 3, 4 and 5 isolates (except for one particular biovar N2 strain, MAFF 301558). The truncation level, at 0.78 correlation, allowed separation of seven AFLP clusters designated clusters I-VII. High bootstrap values indicated that this clustering was well supported and the tree was robust. The close relatives of R. solanacearum, R. pickettii and the BDB, were found to be in the first division and exhibited specific AFLP profiles. Clusters appeared to be grouped according to biovar, geographical origin or host origin. Clusters I, II and III included biovar 1 strains originating from the Americas (three coming from Northern Africa) whilst cluster V contained biovar 1 strains originating from the

5

N2

61

62



Fig. 4. Dendrogram constructed by using UPGMA showing the phylogenetic relationships of *R. solanacearum* strains and strains of closely related bacteria (*P. syzygii* and the BDB), based on 16S rDNA sequence comparisons. *Ralstonia eutropha* and *R. pickettii* were used as outgroups in this analysis. The numbers at the branch points are the percentages of bootstrap replicates in which the clusters were found. The GenBank accession numbers for the I6S rDNA sequences of the *R. eutropha* and *R. pickettii* strains are AF027407 and X67042, respectively.

Southern part of Africa. Strains belonging to cluster III were isolated from musaceous plants, except for one from potato. Cluster IV grouped biovar 2 and N2 isolates. Biovar 3 strains were assigned to cluster VI. Isolates belonging to biovars 4 and 5 were grouped together in cluster VII, except for two biovar 4 strains in cluster VI. Strains JT510, CFBP 3059, MAFF 211266, MAFF 211267, NCPPB 3181 and MAFF 301558 were unusual since they were members of unique branches. Moreover, a second truncation level (Fig. 3), at 0.87 correlation, could be used to make a more precise discrimination of strains, particularly between biovar 2 strains and biovar N2 strains, and between biovar 3 strains originating from Africa and biovar 3 strains from the other continents. This clustering was also well supported by high bootstrap values, except for some weaker values, 54% and 32%. The clustering obtained with the STATLAB software was approximately the same as that obtained with the PHYLIP software package except that certain strains which were not well separated from the other strains using STATLAB were separated using PHYLIP (result not shown).

16S rRNA sequence analysis

Nearly complete sequences of 16S rRNA genes were determined for 7 biovar 1 isolates of *R. solanacearum* and compared to 24 published sequences of *R. solanacearum* isolates and the closely related bacteria, *P. syzygii* and the BDB.

A phylogenetic tree was produced using the PHYLIP software package by comparing 1431 nucleotide positions, omitting all of the ambiguous nucleotides, and revealed two divisions, each of which was split into two subdivisions (Fig. 4). This tree was not completely consistent with that obtained by Taghavi *et al.* (1996) since the subdivision 2b appeared to be more closely related to division 1 than to subdivision 2a. However,

Table 3. The 16S rRNA sequer	ice differentiation amon	g 31 isolates of R	. solanacearum and	I related bacteria
------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

Organism	Strain*	Country	Host	Biovar	Division or	GenBank								Nu	icleo	tide(s) at	posi	tion	(s)†							
					subdivision	accession no.	165	167	204	205	264	269	328	458-460	474	649	669	737	848	1208	1402	1424	1428	1441	1451	1456	1472
R. solanacearum	CFBP 1036	Martinique	Tomato	1	2a	AF207891	С	А	G	А	А	U	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	CFBP 712	Burkina Faso	Eggplant	1	2a	AF207892	С	А	G	А	А	U	С	UUC	А	G	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	NCPPB 1018	Angola	Potato	1	2c	AF207893	U	А	G	А	А	С	С	UCU	А	G	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	CFBP 2146	Reunion Island	Pelargonium capitatum	1	2c	AF207894	U	А	G	А	А	С	С	UCU	А	G	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	CFBP 734	Madagascar	Potato	1	2c	AF207895	U	А	G	А	А	С	С	UCU	А	G	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	NCPPB 342	Zimbabwe	Tobacco	1	2c	AF207896	U	А	G	А	А	С	С	UCU	А	G	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	MAFF 211266	Japan	Tomato	1	1	AF207897	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	ACU	U	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	R288	China	Mulberry	5	1	U27984	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	ACU	U	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	ACH092	Australia	Ginger	4	1	U27985	С	А	G	А	G	С	С	ACU	U	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	ACH0171	Australia	Eggplant	3	1	U27986	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	ACU	U	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	R791	Indonesia	Tomato	3	1	U27987	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	ACU	U	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	CIP365	Philippines	Potato	5	1	U28220	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	ACU	U	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	ACH0732	Australia	Tomato	2	1	U27983	С	А	G	А	А	U	С	UCU	G	G	А	U	С	С	С	С	Α	Α	С	А	U
	PDDCC 1727	United States	Tomato	1	2a	U28221	С	G	G	А	А	U	С	UUC	А	G	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	CIP210	Brazil	Potato	1	2a	U28222	С	А	G	А	А	U	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	С	G	С
	R207	Belize	Musa AAB	1	2a	U28223	С	А	G	А	А	U	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	U	G	С
	ACH0158	Australia	Potato	2	2a	U28224	С	А	G	А	Α	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	С	G	С
	Br150	United Kingdom	Solanum dulcamara	2	2a	U28225	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	С	G	С
	CIP238	Chile	Potato	2	2a	U28226	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	С	G	С
	CIP10	Peru	Potato	N2	2a	U28227	С	А	G	А	Α	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	С	С	U	G	А	С	G	С
	R483	Philippines	Banana	1	2a	U28228	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	U	С	U	G	А	С	G	С
	R634	Philippines	Banana	1	2a	U28229	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	U	С	U	G	Α	С	G	С
	R633	Philippines	Banana	1	2a	U28230	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	U	С	U	G	Α	С	G	С
	R639	Philippines	Banana	1	2a	U28231	С	А	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	А	А	U	С	U	С	U	G	Α	С	G	С
	R780	Indonesia	Potato	N2	2b	U28232	С	G	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	G	G	С	С	С	С	С	Α	Α	С	А	U
	R142	Indonesia	Clove	2	2b	U28233	С	G	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	G	G	С	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
BDB	R506	Indonesia	Banana		2b	U28234	С	G	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	G	G	С	U	С	С	С	А	G	С	А	U
	R233	Indonesia	Banana		2b	U28235	С	G	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	G	G	С	U	С	С	С	А	G	С	А	U
	R223	Indonesia	Banana		2b	U28236	С	G	G	А	А	С	С	UUC	А	G	G	С	U	С	А	С	А	G	С	А	U
P. syzygii	R001	Indonesia	Clove		2b	U28237	С	G	С	-	А	С	G	UUC	А	G	G	С	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U
	R058	Indonesia	Clove		2b	U28238	С	G	Ν	-	А	С	G	UUC	А	G	G	С	С	С	С	С	А	А	С	А	U

* Except for the first seven strains, all of these data were described in the study of Taghavi *et al.* (1996). CIP, International Potato Center, Lima, Peru; ACH, A. C. Hayward, Department of Microbiology, Centre for Bacterial Diversity and Identification, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia; PDDCC, Culture Collection of Plant Diseases Division, DSIR, Auckland, New Zealand. † *Escherichia coli* numbering (Woese *et al.*, 1983).

this result could be explained by the branch point separating division 1 and subdivision 2b, which was not as well supported (bootstrap value only 43%) as the branch point between subdivisions 2a and 2b (bootstrap value 59%) in the study of Taghavi et al. (1996). Moreover, the latter was supported by the dendrogram generated by the STATLAB software (result not shown). The four African biovar 1 strains originating from Angola, Madagascar, Reunion Island and Zimbabwe were included in a new subdivision, which was designated subdivision 2c. The branch point between subdivisions 2a and 2c was stable (bootstrap value 79%). The three other biovar 1 strains were distributed either into subdivision 2a (strains CFBP 712 and CFBP 1036), containing R. solanacearum biovar 1, 2 and N2 strains, or into division 1 (strain MAFF 211266), containing R. solanacearum biovars 3, 4 and 5 and a biovar 2 isolate.

As shown in Table 3, comparison of the differences between the seven analysed strains and with existing data gave useful new data. As expected (Taghavi *et al.*, 1996), the major sequence differences between the two divisions were in positions 458–460 (ACU for division 1 and UUC for division 2) and 474 (U for division 1 and A for division 2). However, four African strains were characterized at positions 458-460 by UCU and so differed from all other isolates, except for one biovar 2 strain, ACH0732. These four African isolates also differed from all other isolates at position 165 where U was substituted for C. The Burkina Faso strain, CFBP 712, was distinguished from the four other African strains particularly at positions 165, 269 and 458-460, and had the same nucleotide sequence as that of the American isolate, CFBP 1036, except at position 649. The sequence of the biovar 1 Japanese strain, MAFF 211266, was different from that of other biovar 1 isolates, especially at positions 458-460, 474, 1424, 1428, 1451, 1456 and 1472, but was not different from that of biovar 3, 4 and 5 strains.

DISCUSSION

Genomic diversity of *R. solanacearum* was evaluated by using different molecular approaches, extending the previous PCR-RFLP analysis of the *hrp* gene region (Poussier *et al.*, 1999). AFLP and PCR-RFLP analyses led to comparable clustering but AFLP revealed more differences in the identification of clonal lines at the infrasubspecific level.

Clustering of the PCR-RFLP and AFLP profiles

Five PCR products were shown to be specific to R. solanacearum, P. syzygii and the BDB strains. This result is consistent with many other studies showing the close relationships between these three bacterial taxa (Eden-Green, 1994; Eden-Green & Sastraatmadja, 1990; Roberts et al., 1990; Seal et al., 1993; Taghavi et al., 1996). Nevertheless, P. syzygii as well as the BDB strains were distinguished from R. solanacearum strains since specific PCR-RFLP profiles, including specific restriction patterns, were obtained for these bacteria. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a simple and rapid method for discriminating R. solanacearum strains from P. syzygii and the BDB strains. New PCR-RFLP profiles were also obtained with new strains of R. solanacearum, particularly biovar N2 and 5 isolates. Biovar N2 strains generated five different subclusters demonstrating and confirming that biovar N2 is a genetically heterogeneous group of strains (Cook & Sequeira, 1994; Gillings & Fahy, 1994). Notably, the very similar biovars 2 and N2 (Hayward et al., 1990) showed a polymorphism within the RS80-RS81 amplified fragment spanning the *hrpB* regulatory gene (Genin et al., 1992). Since other groups of R. solanacearum strains are distinguishable by polymorphism in this gene (Poussier *et al.*, 1999), the *hrpB* gene may be considered as a target for further phylogeny purposes, and for relating pathogenicity gene function with genetic variability. The PCR-RFLP and AFLP profiles were distributed into clusters which agreed well with biovar and geographical origin classifications, confirming the results obtained in the previous investigation (Poussier et al., 1999). In addition, this clustering was conserved using two different software packages which use different genetic distance methods, underlining its robustness. Biovar 1 and biovar 2 strains displayed the highest and the lowest diversity, respectively. Biovar 3 strains showed lower genetic diversity than biovar 1 strains. AFLP allowed very fine discrimination, close to the strain level, and reliable determination of genetic relationships between strains. This result is consistent with many previous investigations showing the usefulness of the AFLP procedure in strain identification, and for epidemiology and phylogeny purposes (Aarts et al., 1998; Arias et al., 1997; Blears et al., 1998; Clerc et al., 1998; Folkertsma et al., 1996; Hermans et al., 1995; Janssen et al., 1996, 1997; Keim et al., 1997; Lin et al., 1996; Restrepo et al., 1999). The BDB and R. pickettii strains, which showed specific AFLP profiles, could be distinguished from R. solanacearum strains. However, the overall level of polymorphism between these bacterial taxa was low, confirming their close relationship.

AFLP reveals a high level of polymorphism

The AFLP analysis revealed great variability within *R*. solanacearum since 60 different AFLP fingerprints were

observed for the 96 strains. Thus, with 60 AFLP fingerprints (95% of fragments were polymorphic), AFLP has a higher resolution level for intraspecific differentiation of R. solanacearum strains than PCR-RFLP (20 profiles for 178 strains tested) and RFLP (46 profiles for 164 strains tested) (Cook et al., 1989, 1991; Cook & Sequeira, 1994). Several DNA fragments were common to all R. solanacearum species. Other DNA fragments could distinguish the divisions defined by Cook et al. (1989) within R. solanacearum species or differentiate strains according to their biovar or geographical origin and are therefore useful for the development of diagnostic tools and epidemiological studies. Although AFLP clustering was approximately the same as PCR-RFLP clustering, the AFLP procedure was more efficient for assessing intraspecific diversity since it permitted a clearer separation between biovar 2 and N2 and also between biovars 3, 4 and 5. AFLP and PCR-RFLP confirmed that biovar 2 strains are the least genetically diverse of all biovars (Cook et al., 1989; Cook & Sequeira, 1994; Smith et al., 1995; Van der Wolf et al., 1998); nevertheless AFLP, in contrast to PCR-RFLP, demonstrated genetic diversity among biovar 2 strains. In contrast, PCR-RFLP permitted strains isolated from musaceous plants to be grouped into two main clusters whereas AFLP grouped them into only one cluster.

New or unexpected groups

Trees resulting from PCR-RFLP analysis of the *hrp* gene region, AFLP and 16S rRNA sequencing showed the separation of R. solanacearum into two major groups, confirming and extending the conclusions of previous investigations using DNA-DNA hybridization (Palleroni & Doudoroff, 1971), and more recently of RFLP analyses (Cook et al., 1989, 1991; Cook & Sequeira, 1994), of PCR amplification with tRNA consensus (Seal et al., 1992), of 16S rRNA sequencing (Li et al., 1993; Taghavi et al., 1996), and of sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA gene spacer region, the endoglucanase gene and the polygalacturonase gene (Fegan et al., 1998). The first division, named 'Americanum' by Cook et al. (1989), includes biovars 1, 2 and N2; and the second division named 'Asiaticum' contains biovars 3, 4 and 5.

However, our analyses revealed that there are numerous exceptions. Indeed, our extended PCR-RFLP analysis showed that an African biovar 1 strains group was associated with the 'Asiaticum' division rather than the 'Americanum' division, and so supported the conclusion of the first PCR-RFLP analysis (Poussier et al., 1999). The conclusions of AFLP and 16S rRNA sequencing were different to those of PCR-RFLP and appeared to be in complete agreement with the classification scheme proposed by Cook et al. (1989). Indeed, biovar 1 strains originating from the Southern part of Africa (Angola, Madagascar, Reunion Island, Zimbabwe) appeared to be more closely related to American strains even though they constituted a clearly separable group from American biovar 1 strains, and these were thus included in subdivision 2c, a new

subdivision compared to the work of Taghavi et al. (1996). The differentiation between American and African biovar 1 strains is more remarkable within the *hrp* gene region (PCR-RFLP analysis). To further clarify whether the discrimination between these two biovar 1 populations is clearer using regions of the genome involved in pathogenicity, other genes such as those encoding endoglucanase or polygalacturonase should be sequenced. The most probable explanation for the distinction between African and American biovar 1 isolates is separate evolution of the two populations due to geographical isolation. The two populations may have diverged under different natural selection pressures. The observation that other African isolates (three coming from Burkina Faso and one from Kenya) fell into clusters containing only American members may result from their introduction from the Americas through commercial exchanges.

The Japanese strains, MAFF 211266 and MAFF 211267, confirmed to be biovar 1 in our laboratory, were considered to be atypical. Both PCR-RFLP analysis and 16S rRNA sequence analysis indicated that these Japanese isolates appeared to be closely related to strains belonging to the 'Asiaticum' division, confirming the findings of Tsuchiya & Horita (1998). The peculiarity of these strains, which underlines the level of heterogeneity existing in the R. solanacearum species, may result from horizontal genetic transfers from biovar 3 or 4 members, which predominate in Japan. Moreover, another Japanese strain, MAFF 301558, was also unusual since PCR-RFLP and AFLP analyses showed that this biovar N2 strain was distantly related to all other biovar N2 strains and closely related to biovars 3, 4 and 5. This is not the first report of atypical isolates since Li & Hayward (1994) and Taghavi et al. (1996) also mentioned one atypical (ACH0732) biovar 2 strain. Furthermore, the two strains JT510 and JQ1056, which were identified as biovar 2 on several independent examinations, were unusual in AFLP grouping. It is possible that limited genomic rearrangements or genetic exchanges which do not modify the biovar typing occur. These considerations reinforce the need for a classification system that is based upon polymorphism between genes encoding pathogenicity functions. This would in turn permit more meaningful comparisons with specific phenotypic characteristics such as host specificity and survival in natural settings to be made.

Clearly the species *R. solanacearum* comprises two divisions, which may represent subspecies as suggested by Li *et al.* (1993). However, the 'Americanum' and 'Asiaticum' designations of these divisions proposed by Cook *et al.* (1989) in relation to the presumed geographical origin of strains could be reconsidered since our analyses reveal an African biovar 1 subdivision, which may have its own centre of genetic diversity, and thus likely evolutionary origin, in Africa.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Nigel Grimsley for reviewing the manuscript and all colleagues that have contributed strains to this study. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche.

REFERENCES

Aarts, H. J. M., Van Lith, L. A. J. T. & Keijer, J. (1998). Highresolution genotyping of *Salmonella* strains by AFLP-fingerprinting. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 26, 131–135.

Arias, C. R., Verdonck, L., Swings, J., Garay, E. & Aznar, R. (1997). Intraspecific differentiation of *Vibrio vulnificus* biotypes by amplified fragment length polymorphism and ribotyping. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 63, 2600–2606.

Ausubel, F. M., Brent, R., Kingston, R. E., Moore, D. D., Seidman, J. G., Smith, J. A. & Struhl, K. (1991). *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. New York: Greene Publishing Associates-Wiley Interscience.

Blears, M. J., De Grandis, S. A., Lee, H. & Trevors, J. T. (1998). Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP): a review of the procedure and its application. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* **21**, 99–114.

Buddenhagen, I., Sequeira, L. & Kelman, A. (1962). Designation of races in *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Phytopathology* **52**, 726.

Clerc, A., Manceau, C. & Nesme, X. (1998). Comparison of randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with amplified fragment length polymorphism to assess genetic diversity and genetic relatedness within Genospecies III of *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **64**, 1180–1187.

Cook, D. & Sequeira, L. (1994). Strain differentiation of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* by molecular genetics methods. In *Bacterial Wilt: the Disease and its Causative Agent, Pseudomonas solanacearum*, pp. 77–93. Edited by A. C. Hayward & G. L. Hartman. Wallingford: CAB International.

Cook, D., Barlow, E. & Sequeira, L. (1989). Genetic diversity of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*: detection of restriction fragment length polymorphisms with DNA probes that specify virulence and the hypersensitive response. *Mol Plant–Microbe Interact* **2**, 113–121.

Cook, D., Barlow, E. & Sequeira, L. (1991). DNA probes as tools for the study of host-pathogen evolution: the example of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. In *Advances in Molecular Genetics of Plant-Microbe Interactions*, pp. 103–108. Edited by H. Henneke & D. P. S. Verma. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Eden-Green, S. J. (1994). Diversity of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* and related bacteria in South East Asia: new direction for moko disease. In *Bacterial Wilt: the Disease and its Causative Agent, Pseudomonas solanacearum*, pp. 25–34. Edited by A. C. Hayward & G. L. Hartman. Wallingford: CAB International.

Eden-Green, S. J. & Sastraatmadja, H. (1990). Blood disease present in Java. FAO Plant Protein Bull 38, 49–50.

Fegan, M., Taghavi, M., Sly, L. I. & Hayward, A. C. (1998). Phylogeny, diversity and molecular diagnostics of *Ralstonia* solanacearum. In *Bacterial Wilt Disease: Molecular and Ecological Aspects*, pp. 19–33. Edited by P. Prior, C. Allen & J. Elphinstone. Paris: INRA Editions.

Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**, 783–791.

Felsenstein, J. (1995). PHYLIP (phylogeny inference package), version 3.55. Seattle: Department of Genetics, University of Washington.

Folkertsma, R. T., Rouppe Van der Voort, J. N. A. M., de Groot, K. E., Van Zandvoort, P. M., Schots, A., Gommers, F. J., Helder, J. & Bakker, J. (1996). Gene pool similarities of potato cyst nematode

populations assessed by AFLP analysis. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 9, 47-54.

Genetics Computer Group (1999). Wisconsin package, version 10.0. Madison, WI: Genetics Computer Group.

Genin, S., Gough, C. L., Zischek, C. & Boucher, C. A. (1992). Evidence that the *hrpB* encodes a positive regulator of pathogenicity genes from *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. Mol Microbiol 6, 3065–3076.

Gillings, M. R. & Fahy, P. (1994). Genomic fingerprinting: towards a unified view of the *Pseudomonas solanacearum* species complex. In *Bacterial Wilt: the Disease and its Causative Agent, Pseudomonas solanacearum*, pp. 95–112. Edited by A. C. Hayward & G. L. Hartman. Wallingford: CAB International.

Hayward, A. C. (1964). Characteristics of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. J Appl Bacteriol 27, 265–277.

Hayward, A. C. (1991). Biology and epidemiology of bacterial wilt caused by *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 29, 65–87.

Hayward, A. C., El-Nashaar, H. M., Nydegger, U. & De Lindo, L. (1990). Variation in nitrate metabolism in biovars of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. J Appl Bacteriol **69**, 269–280.

He, L. Y., Sequeira, L. & Kelman, A. (1983). Characteristics of strains of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Plant Dis* 67, 1357–1361.

Hermans, P. W. M., Sluijter, M., Hoogenboezem, T., Heersma, H., Vanbelkum, A. & De Groot, R. (1995). Comparative study of five different DNA fingerprint techniques for molecular typing of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains. *J Clin Microbiol* 33, 1606–1612.

Janssen, P., Coopman, R., Huys, G., Swings, J., Bleeker, M., Vos, P., Zabeau, M. & Kersters, K. (1996). Evaluation of the DNA fingerprinting method AFLP as a new tool in bacterial taxonomy. *Microbiology* 142, 1881–1893.

Janssen, P., Maquelin, K., Coopman, R., Tjernberg, I., Bouvet, P., Kersters, K. & Dijkshoorn, L. (1997). Discrimination of *Acinetobacter* genomic species by AFLP fingerprinting. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 47, 1179–1187.

Jukes, T. H. & Cantor, C. R. (1969). Evolution of protein molecules. In *Mammalian Protein Metabolism*, vol. 3, pp. 21–132. Edited by H. N. Munro. New York: Academic Press.

Keim, P., Kalif, A., Schupp, J. & 7 other authors (1997). Molecular evolution and diversity in *Bacillus anthracis* as detected by amplified fragment length polymorphism markers. *J Bacteriol* 179, 818–824.

Li, X., Dorsch, M., Del Dot, T., Sly, L. I., Stackebrandt, E. & Hayward, A. C. (1993). Phylogenetic studies of the rRNA group II pseudomonads based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. *J Appl Bacteriol* 74, 324–329.

Li, X. & Hayward, A. C. (1994). Bacterial whole cell protein profiles of the rRNA group II pseudomonads. *J Appl Bacteriol* 77, 308–318.

Lin, J.-J., Kuo, J. & Ma, J. (1996). A PCR-based DNA fingerprinting technique: AFLP for molecular typing of bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24, 3649–3650.

Nei, M. (1973). Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 70, 3321–3323.

Palleroni, N. J. & Doudoroff, M. (1971). Phenotypic characterization and deoxyribonucleic acid homologies of *Pseudomonas* solanacearum. J Bacteriol 107, 690–696.

Pegg, K. & Moffett, M. (1971). Host range of the ginger strain of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* in Queensland. *Aust J Exp Agric Anim Husb* **11**, 696–698.

Poussier, S., Vandewalle, P. & Luisetti, J. (1999). Genetic diversity of African and worldwide strains of *Ralstonia solanacearum* as determined by PCR-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism analysis of the *hrp* gene region. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**, 2184–2194.

Restrepo, S., Duque, M., Tohme, J. & Verdier, V. (1999). AFLP fingerprinting: an efficient technique for detecting genetic variation of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *manihotis. Microbiology* **145**, 107–114.

Roberts, S. J., Eden-Green, S. J., Jones, P. & Ambler, D. J. (1990). *Pseudomonas syzygii* sp. nov., the cause of Sumatra disease of cloves. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 13, 34–43.

Seal, S. E., Jackson, L. A. & Daniels, M. J. (1992). Use of tRNA consensus primers to indicate subgroups of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* by polymerase chain reaction amplification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 58, 3759–3761.

Seal, S. E., Jackson, L. A., Young, J. P. W. & Daniels, M. J. (1993). Differentiation of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*, *Pseudomonas syzygii*, *Pseudomonas pickettii* and the Blood Disease Bacterium by partial 16S rRNA sequencing: construction of oligonucleotide primers for sensitive detection by polymerase chain reaction. J Gen Microbiol **139**, 1587–1594.

Smith, J. J., Offord, L. C., Holderness, M. & Saddler, G. S. (1995). Genetic diversity of *Burkholderia solanacearum* (synonym *Pseudomonas solanacearum*) race 3 in Kenya. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61, 4263–4268.

Sneath, P. H. A. & Sokal, R. R. (1973). *Numerical Taxonomy: the Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification.* San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.

Taghavi, M., Hayward, C., Sly, L. I. & Fegan, M. (1996). Analysis of the phylogenetic relationships of strains of *Burkholderia solanacearum*, *Pseudomonas syzygii*, and the Blood Disease Bacterium of banana based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **46**, 10–15.

Tsuchiya, K. & Horita, M. (1998). Genetic diversity of *Ralstonia* solanacearum in Japan. In *Bacterial Wilt Disease: Molecular and Ecological Aspects*, pp. 61–73. Edited by P. Prior, C. Allen & J. Elphinstone. Paris: INRA Editions.

Van der Wolf, J. M., Bonants, P. J. M., Smith, J. J., Hagenaar, M., Nijhuis, E., Van Beckhoven, J. R. C. M., Saddler, G. S., Trigalet, A. & Feuillade, R. (1998). Genetic diversity of *Ralstonia solanacearum* race 3 in Western Europe determined by AFLP, RC-PFGE and Rep-PCR. In *Bacterial Wilt Disease: Molecular and Ecological Aspects*, pp. 44–49. Edited by P. Prior, C. Allen & J. Elphinstone. Paris: INRA Editions.

Vos, P., Hogers, R., Bleeker, M. & 8 other authors (1995). AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23, 4407–4414.

Ward, J. H. (1963). Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. *Am Stat Assoc J* 58, 236–244.

Woese, C. R., Gutell, R., Gupta, R. & Noller, H. F. (1983). Detailed analysis of the higher-order structure of 16S-like ribosomal ribonucleic acids. *Microbiol Rev* 47, 621–669.

Received 2 December 1999; revised 1 March 2000; accepted 27 March 2000.